# Overview of the KIAPS's next generation global model

KIM (Korean Integrated Model)

# Young C. Kwon Korea Institute of Atmospheric Prediction Systems (KIAPS)

Hong and Coauthors, 2018: The Korean Integrated Model(KIM) system global weather forecasting. *Asia-Pac, J. Atmos. Sci.*, **54**, 267-292

# Contents

- overview of KIAPS
- dynamic core
- physics
- data assimilation
- model framework and verification results
- summary

# **Overview of KIAPS**



### Significance of KIM:

- ▶ Removal of scientific and technological dependency on foreign countries
- Scientific basis for improving weather phenomena unique to Korean Peninsula
- ▶ facilitating feedback between forecasters and model developers

# **Dynamic Core**

Representing vertical/horizontal circulations of atmosphere e.g. advections, pressure gradient force, horizontal diffusion (adiabatic processes)

→ no net energy source or sink, so conservation is important spatial/temporal discretization method with grid projection

# **Overview of KIM dynamic core**

"The first fully functional non-hydrostatic spectral element global dynamic core over cubed sphere grid" Joseph Klemp (NCAR)



horizontal discretization: spectral element on cubed sphere vertical discretization: finite difference on hybrid sigma-P temporal discretization: split-explicit RK3 governing equations: WRF-type non-hydrostatic horizontal diffusion: 6<sup>th</sup> order time-split explicit diffusion NE240 L91 (dx~12km) model top 1Pa (~80km)

Advantages of the cubed sphere: avoid polar singularity, scalability Disadvantages: numerical noise along the edges, computational expensive

### The results of KIM scalability test



# Development of Non-hydrostatic Dyn. (DCMIP)



#### Schär mountain gravity wave in reduced Earth (X=500)







https://www.earthsystemcog.org/projects/dcmip-2012/Test\_Cases/results\_by\_mo

- Idealized tropical cyclone with simplified physical forcings



# Algorithmic Change EP-based $\rightarrow$ UP\_based



The shared points between different elements ('Yellow Area') consider as one point

- Maximum rate of Calculation reduction is #UP/#EP=9/16= 0.5625
- Derivative operator is changed to matrix-vector multiplication
  - Multi-loop for elements is vanished.
- Direct Stiffness summation is included in the matrix
  - Further enhance the efficiency

# Physics

Representing change of atmospheric thermodynamic status e.g. air temperature, humidity, precipitation (diabatic processes)

 $\rightarrow$  net energy source or sink to air: causes of weather

### KIM3.0 Physics

	Scheme	Updated	Reference	
Radiation (복사)	Revised RAD (RRTMK)	<ul> <li>unified RRTMG</li> <li>reduced MCICA</li> <li>updated ancillaries (aerosol, GMAO ozone, reflectivity, emissivity, snow albedo)</li> <li>Improved two-stream approximation for shortwave radiation</li> <li>Scale-awareness for sub-grid hydrometeors</li> </ul>	lacono et al. 2008 <b>Beak 2017</b>	
Land surface (지면)	Revised LSM	<ul> <li>3-layer sea-ice model</li> <li>frozen processes (z0, conductivity over snow cover, flux over sea-ice)</li> <li>USGS to IGBP for land data</li> <li>soil moisture initialization</li> <li>consistent diffusivity in LSM and RAD</li> <li>Heterogeneous land-surface parametrization</li> <li>Roughness length considering snow</li> </ul>	Ek et al. 2003 <b>Koo et al. 2016</b>	
Ocean surface layer (해수면)	Diurnal SST OSH	<ul><li>SST warming effect</li><li>Considering salinity effect</li></ul>	Kim and Hong 2010 Lee and Hong 2017	
Boundary layer (경계층)	Scale-aware non-local PBL	<ul> <li>top-down mixing</li> <li>updated background diffusion &amp; heating rate</li> <li>minimum Richardson number changed</li> <li>scale-aware (ShingHong PBL)</li> <li>Considering dissipative heating</li> </ul>	Hong et al. 2006 Shin and Hong 2015 Lee et al. 2016	
Gravity wave drag	Sub-grid orographic GWD	<ul><li>flow blocking drag</li><li>orographic anisotropy</li><li>updated efficiency/intermittency factor</li></ul>	Hong et al., 2008 Choi and Hong 2015	
(중국파)	Non-orographic GWD	Source-based spectral nonorographic GWD	Choi et al. 2017	
Deep convection (깊은대류)	Scale-aware mass-flux CPS	<ul> <li>revised autoconversion &amp; entrainment rate</li> <li>moisture-based trigger threshold</li> <li>scale-aware / aerosol-aware</li> </ul>	Han and Pan 2011 Lim et al. 2014 Han et al. 2016 Kwon and Hong 2016	
Shallow convection (얕은대류)	Adjustment SCV	<ul><li>improved eddy diffusivity profile (2.5)</li><li>Considering diffusion of cloud water contents</li></ul>	Hong et al. 2013	
Microphysics (미세물리)	WSM5 MPS	effective radius	Hong et al. 2004 Bae et al. 2016	
Cloudiness (운량)	<ul> <li>revised CPS condensate</li> <li>consistency (cloud-MPS-CPS-RAD)</li> <li>reduced high cloud fraction at high latitude</li> </ul>		Park et al. 2016	

#### The grid-size dependency is considered (scale-aware scheme)

$= 1  1  \int_{\text{tor}^{-1}} \left[ - \left( A_{11}  A_{12}  \right) \right] + \pi \right]$		
$o = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \tan \left[ O_{\text{con}} \left( \Delta x - \Delta x_{5\text{km}} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \right\}$	Δx	σ
where $\sigma = \frac{\tan(0.4\pi)}{\tan(0.4\pi)}$	9 km	0.1
$\Delta x_{5\rm km} - \Delta x_{1\rm km}$		0.5
Adapted from Hong and Pan (1998, MWR)	1 km	0.9

- Cloud-base mass flux  $[\propto (1 \sigma)^2]$
- Convective Inhibition ( $\propto$  (1- $\sigma$ ))
- Moisture detrained to grid scale ( $\propto \sigma)$



24-h accumulated precipitation

#### TMPA



max. precip: 299.412 mm No CPS in the domain with  $\Delta x = 3$  km



Original SAS in the domain with  $\Delta x = 3$  km



Modified SAS in the domain



1

# **Evaporation over Ocean**

To apply the saturated vapor pressure for seawater over ocean
 Global sea surface salinity ~ 32-38 ‰

Improvement of light rain forecasting



Lee and Hong (under review)

# **Data Assimilation**

Accurately representing the current status (or initial condition) of the atmosphere

 $\rightarrow$  Time tendency calculated by dynamics and physics will be added to predict future weather

### **Observation data used in KMA and KIAPS assimilation system**

Ob	servation type	КМА	KIAPS	Ob	servation type	КМА	KIAPS
1	SONDE	0	0	9	IASI	0	0
2	SURFACE	0	0	10	CrIS	0	0
3	AIRCRAFT	0	0	11	ATMS	0	0
4	SCATWIND	0	0	12	AMV	0	0
5	HIRS	0	×	13	GPS-RO	0	0
6	AMSU-A	0	0	14	CSR	0	0
7	мнѕ	0	0	15	SSMIS	×	0
8	AIRS	0	×	16	TC bogus	0	0

SCATWIND: Scatterometer wind HIRS: High-resolution Infrared Radiation Sounder AMSU-A: Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit-A MHS: Microwave Humidity Sounder AIRS: Atmospheric Infrared Sounder IASI: Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer

- CrIS: Cross-track Infared Sounder
- ATMS: Advanced Technology Microwave Sounder
- AMV: Atmospheric Motion Vector
- GPS-RO: GPS Radio occultation
- CSR: Clear Sky Radiance
- SSMIS: Special Sensor Microwave Imager Sounder

# <u>3DVAR</u>

### Song and Kwon 2015 (MWR)

- 3DVAR system built on KIM (cubed sphere grid using Real-observations)
- Spectral transform as the horizontal Filter
   Direct transform from cubed sphere grid to wave space
- The observation data assimilated so far

Sonde, Surface, Aircraft, AMSU-A, IASI, GPO-RO, AMV, ATMS, CrIS, MHS, CSR, ScatWind (12 types)

Results of 3DVAR system

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

Hybrid-4DEnVar (2017 Mar)

4DEnVar Forecast System with KIM, KPOP, and 4DLETKF

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

KPOP: KIAPS Package for Observation Processing
KIM resolution (NE240 ~ 12 km)
Ensemble resolution (NE060 ~ 50 km), 50 members
Analysis resolution (NE060 ~ 50 km)

# Model Framework and verification results

# Multi-platform KIM

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **General Bilinear Interpolation between Spherical Grids**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Remapping between arbitrary spherical grids

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Improvement of I/O performance using I/O decomposition method

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

	w/o I/O decomp.	w/ I/O decompo.	speed-up
ncores	10,	800	
nios	5,004	139	
total (sec)	14,259 (3h 58m)	12,244 (3h 24m)	1.16
write (sec)	1,318 (9.2%)	478 (3.9%)	2.76

When applied to KIM, the performance is improved about 2.76 times in the output and about 1.16 times in the total when compared to the conventional parallel output.

- The # of output processes is set to x1, x1/2, x1/4, x1/12 of the # of total processes.
- With 5,328 processes, it is 3.5 times faster to use 444 processes than to use the entire processes for output.

# KIM Real time forecasts skill

#### 500hPa geopotential height anomaly correlation at t=+120h fcst

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

# SUMMARY

Major components of KIM are mostly developed by KIAPS scientists - dynamic core, physics, data assimilation and model framework

▶ Non-hydrostatic dynamic core and data assimilation system over cubed sphere system are implemented at KIAPS, will be adopted to US/NWS and UK Met Office

▶ Physics suite of KIM has many updates with special emphasis on scale-aware and inter-scheme consistency

► Flexible model framework – operable on both CPU & GPU platform, KIM-IO, coupler capability are also developed in KIAPS

► The continuous objective and subjective verifications are conducted in order to ensure the improvement of updated model and identify model deficiencies

# Korea Institute of Atmospheric Prediction Systems Beyond the limit of the modern science and technology

# Thank you

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Parallel design for local implicit diffusion FRAME

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Develop a new parallel design because it requires wider and more complex communications than the DSS

### A new algorithm to find a neighbor element/point on the cubed-sphere

#### (1) Define rotation indices of neighbor panels

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

(2) Convert index coordinates

Rotation	Return	
0	( i, j)	
1	( j,n-i+1)	
2	(n-i+1,n-j+1)	
3	(n-j+1, i)	

(3) Find a neighbor using simple arithmetic

#### A new general-purpose library for MPI point-to-point communications

 Generate index tables automatically for MPI point-to-point communications from a given Source-Destination mapping table

![](_page_23_Figure_11.jpeg)

# <u>3DVAR</u>

### Song and Kwon 2015 (MWR)

- 3DVAR system built on KIM (cubed sphere grid using Real-observations)
- Spectral transform as the horizontal Filter
   Direct transform from cubed sphere grid to wave space
- The observation data assimilated so far

Sonde, Surface, Aircraft, AMSU-A, IASI, GPO-RO, AMV, ATMS, CrIS, MHS, CSR, ScatWind (12 types)

Results of 3DVAR system

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Increase the order of the diffusion operator

- The fourth-order diffusion scheme  $\rightarrow$  sixth-order diffusion
- Outer loop time-split horizontal diffusion (5 times per a time-march)
- Increased dt and enhanced energy spectra are achieved.

ne120	KIM2.2(CTL)	KIM2.3
dt	15	60
Diffusion order	2	3
Diffusion Coeff.	1.8E13	3.0D21

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

### The results of KIM scalability test

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

# RAD

- Reducing cold bias at surface from snow albedo correction
- G-Packed McICA : 3 times faster than McICA without losing accuracy
- Tuned two-stream approximation : reduces RMS error to 60 % with no significant computational cost

Improvement of clod bias at lower level by modification of snow albedo

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

Reducing computation time of McICA

![](_page_28_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### RMS error of each cosine of solar zenith angle $\mu_0$ bin

![](_page_28_Figure_9.jpeg)

# <u>GWD-PBL</u>

#### Scale-aware subgrid-scale orographic parameterization

- Scale separation of subgrid orography (meso/turbulent scales)
- Inclusion of turbulent scale orographic drag

Improvement of mesoscale orographic drag

 $\sigma_{f}$ : standard deviation of turbulent scale orography  $z_{0}$ : effective roughness length  $z_{1}$ : lowest model-layer height

**Original (ORG)** Modified (MOD) **Turbulent scale orographic drag**  $1 - 4 \, \text{km}$ (SFC/PBL)  $z_0 = \min(0.001\sigma_f, z_1)$  when  $\sigma_f > 1$  m  $4 \text{ km} - \Lambda x$ Mesoscale orographic drag  $1 \text{ km} - \Lambda x$ **Modified flow blocking drag** (Orographic GWD) ORG **MOD-ORG** 10-m wind speed WS10M(m/s) region: Global (total) **Furbulent** arims T510 stress Latitude (degrees) arima\_1540 (m/s) Improvement in 10-m wind bias ORG -0.06 "Increase over high terrai speed error -0.08 Bias : MOD 0.05 in terms of <sup>360</sup>[N m<sup>-2</sup>] 0 [N m<sup>-2</sup>] global Forecast time (day) Orographic average for a grims\_T510 -atitude (degrees) 0.04 grims\_T510 boreal winter stress (m/s) 0.03 (2016 January) Decrease over high terra -0.02 0.03 RMSE 0.05 Forecast time (day)

### **Data assimilation monitory system**

#### Number of observation

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Evaluation of the analysis

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

4 4 4 8

1 2 3 4 5

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Conventional observation

# Aircraft Thinning update

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Number of observation

13155  $\rightarrow$  25365 (~93% inc.) but, not much diff. in statistics

#### **Almost 2 times bigger observations**

# SONDE drift estimation in KPOP (Prototype for 1 point)

#### • BAR (Barrow, AK, USA)

– 151 obs. used from Jan. to Aug. 2017 (~20 obs. at each month)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= x_{k-1} + \frac{t_k (u_k + u_{k-1})/2}{d_{ref} \times \cos(\emptyset)} & -(\text{Longitude in deg.}) \\ y_k &= y_{k-1} + \frac{t_k (v_k + v_{k-1})/2}{d_{ref}} & -(\text{Latitude in deg.}) \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$t_{k} = \Delta Prs/(\overline{R_{dP}} + \overline{R_{dP}} \times E_{Ws}) \quad \text{-(ascent time in sec.)}$$

$$\overline{R_{dP}} = \left[ \left( p_{a} \times Prs_{k}^{2} + p_{b} \times Prs_{k} + p_{c} \right) + \left( p_{a} \times Prs_{k-1}^{2} + p_{b} \times Prs_{k-1} + p_{c} \right) \right]/2$$

$$\Delta Prs = Prs_{k-1} - Prs_{k}$$

$$E_{Ws} = w_{a} \times W_{spd} + w_{b}$$

![](_page_32_Figure_8.jpeg)

Location error: 0.008 (lat) & 0.009 (lon) = 0.942 km (vs. 143.9 km) → 99.3% error reduction

### **Horizontal localization for LETKF**

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

4.00

3.60

3.20

2.80

U Time series of mean RMSD T Time series of mean RMSD Q Time series of mean RMSD

Fig 7. **Time series of global mean RMSD** against IFS analysis data over 10~1000hPa. It shows **Hloc3** is the best performance in this study.

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

Fig 8. **Time series of difference of global averaged RMSD** between CTRL and Hloc3. All the variables improve over troposphere.

Fig 6. **CTRL** is constant horizontal localization scale, which is used in the original KIAPS-LETKF system (gray line). **Hloc1** is GSI's localization scale (black line), **Hloc2** and **Hloc3** are modified localization scale (blue and red line).

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Megha-Tropiques SAPHIR: microwave humidity sounder

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **CTRL**: Conventional, GPS-RO, AMV, ScatWind, AMSUA **EXP** : CTRL + SAPHIR 6 channels

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Improvement of I/O performance

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

	w/o I/O decomp.	w/ I/O decompo.	speed-up
ncores	10,	800	
nios	5,004	139	
total (sec)	14,259 (3h 58m)	12,244 (3h 24m)	1.16
write (sec)	1,318 (9.2%)	478 (3.9%)	2.76

When applied to KIM, the performance is improved about 2.76 times in the output and about 1.16 times in the total when compared to the conventional parallel output.

- The # of output processes is set to x1, x1/2, x1/4, x1/12 of the # of total processes.
- With 5,328 processes, it is 3.5 times faster to use 444 processes than to use the entire processes for output.

### Analysis RMSD against IFS analysis

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

37

# Table of KIAPS DA updates

	KIM3.0.01	KIM3.0a
KPOP	<ul> <li>Bug fixed (Surface height correction for 2 m temperature and moisture)</li> <li>Remove Land data from CrIS</li> <li>4D Thinning except for Surface and ScatWind</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>LEOGEO satellite for AMV</li> <li>For MHS: land usage and obs error reduction</li> <li>Bug fixed (Equidistance thinning box)</li> <li>4D Thinning except for Surface</li> </ul>
	_Hybrid-4DEnVar	<u>Hybrid-4DEnVar</u>
DA Techni que	<ul> <li>Reduce a static background error of q <ul> <li>Rescale factor of q: 3 → 1</li> <li>Ensemble background error works more</li> </ul> </li> <li>Inflation of observation error <ul> <li>Surface x 2, CrIS x 2, Aircraft x 1.3,</li> <li>AMV x1.3, IASI x1.3</li> </ul> </li> <li>Add observation: COMS-CSR</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bug fix on reading ensemble samples</li> <li>Ratio of ensemble background error: 30%</li> <li>Recentering for Q <ul> <li>Var 50 % and Ensemble mean 50 % for q</li> <li>Bug fix on q initialization</li> </ul> </li> <li>Pseudo-RH <ul> <li>Inflation of observation error</li> </ul> </li> <li>ScatWind x 1.3</li> </ul>
	LETKF	LETKF
	<ul> <li>Add Observation <ul> <li>MHS, CrIS, ATMS, and COMS-CSR</li> </ul> </li> <li>Modification of additive inflation <ul> <li>Inflation factor (0.1 → 0.3)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Modification of horizontal localization <ul> <li>Varies with level increasing</li> <li>(GSU's localization profile was referred)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Modification of vertical localization for : <ul> <li>Weighting function from KPOP</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Add Observation <ul> <li>MHS, CrIS, ATMS, and COMS-CSR</li> </ul> </li> <li>Modification of additive inflation <ul> <li>Inflation factor (0.1 → 0.3)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Modification of horizontal localization <ul> <li>Varies with level increasing <ul> <li>(Min: 700 km, Max: 1800 km)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Modification of vertical localization for radiance <ul> <li>Weighting function from KPOP</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>

+ KIM3.0a model update

# **Evaluation of the KIM analysis**

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### H4DEV3.0 H4DEV3.0a

- H4DEV3.0a is better, especially in Q
- H4DEV3.0a: 4DEnVar update KPOP update and LEOGEO KIM model update

### KIM Real time forecasts skill

#### 500hPa geopotential height anomaly correlation at t=+120h fcst

KMA UM vs KIM

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Lower order basis function (np4 $\rightarrow$ np3)

The reduction in accuracy due to the use of the lower order basis function seems to be small in NWP. But, larger time-step size can be allowed in the model  $\rightarrow$  Enhancement of calculation efficiency

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)